

[5th March 1925]

Mr. S. SATYAMURTI :—“With reference to the answer to clause (d), may I ask why the Advisory Committee was not consulted and if it is not consulted, why the committee exists ?”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—“Being a matter which was considered by a special committee it was thought unnecessary to place it before the Advisory Committee.”

*Urdu munshis in Secondary schools.*

\* 393 Q.—Mr. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB: Will the hon. the Minister for Education be pleased to state—

(a) whether the local authorities are employing Urdu munshis in Secondary or Elementary schools in answer to the recommendation of the Government in departmental orders, more especially in Muhammadan Elementary schools of the southern districts where even in Tamil areas there is a demand for Urdu and Hindustani ;

(b) if so, whether a statement showing the places where this order is given effect to will be placed on the table ; and

(c) if not, whether the Government will insist upon the orders being followed ?

A.—(a), (b) & (c) It is not clear to which order of Government the hon. Member refers.

Mr. MUHAMMAD GHOUSE MIAN SAHIB:—“With reference to the answer to clauses (a), (b) and (c) of the question, may I ask the hon. the Minister whether there are any orders at all on the subject that in such schools Urdu munshis should be appointed ?”

The hon. Rao Bahadur Sir A. P. PATRO :—“It is incumbent upon the management to employ Urdu munshis in schools where there are a number of boys requiring instruction in Urdu.”

**Agriculture.**

*Chintaladevi cattle-farm.*

\* 394 Q.—Mr. A. RANGANATHA MUDALIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state, with reference to answer to clause (a) of question No. 1384, dated 4th December 1924, whether it was not the intention of the Government at one time to abolish the Chintaladevi farm and, if so, the reasons which led them to contemplate its abolition and also the reasons which led them to give up their original idea ?

A.—In connexion with the opening of the Cattle Breeding Farm at Hosur the Government considered the question of the Chintaladevi farm. The Government decided that the continuance of a Farm in Nellore district was necessary in the interests of the important local breed of cattle.

Mr. T ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR :—“Is it the policy of the Government to have one cattle-breeding farm for each district ?”

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI :—“The question has not been considered.”

5th March 1925]

**Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR** :—“In the answer it is stated that the continuance of the farm is necessary in the interests of the local breed ?”

**The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI** :—“It pertains only to Nellore.”

**Mr. V. C. VELLINGIRI GOUNDER** :—“Was any other proposal besides the interest of local breed considered in opening the farm at such a heavy outlay ?”

“The hon. the Minister says in his answer that the continuance of the Chintaladevi farm was necessary in the interests of the important local breed of cattle. In connexion with the opening of the cattle-farm at Hosur the Government considered the question of the farm at Chintaladevi. Was any other interest considered when proposal for opening this farm was considered ?”

**11-30 a.m. The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI** :—“I have not been able to understand what exactly the hon. Member wants.”

**Mr. V. C. VELLINGIRI GOUNDER** :—“May I know the capacity of the farm, the number of cattle that can be bred in it ?”

**The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI** :—“The answer to that question has already been furnished in the reply to other questions previously put.”

**Mr. V. C. VELLINGIRI GOUNDER** :—“That does not help me. I do not find an answer to this in previous replies.”

**The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI** :—“I would then like to have notice.”

**Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR** :—“May I know, Sir, whether there is any difficulty in carrying on the cattle-breeding experiments regarding the Nellore breed in the Hosur farm ?”

**The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI** :—“The Nellore people take serious objection to it and the question is being considered whether the Ongole breed can with profit be reared in the farm at Hosur.”

**Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR** :—“Am I to take it that local patriotism or provincialism is the thing which stands in the way of allowing Ongole breed being bred at Hosur ? As a large amount of public money is being spent upon the Chintaladevi farm about which there was a proposal to close down, I wish to know whether mere sentiment on the part of the people of Nellore can be respected to the extent of allowing public moneys to be wasted ?”

**The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI** :—“Nobody said that it was a question of sentiment. As will be found from the answer, in the interests of the local breed, the farm is being continued.”

**Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR** :—“Is there anything to show that the local breed cannot be reared in Hosur ? It has not been tried and could it not be tried now ? This would save a large amount of money being wasted at Chintaladevi.”

[5th March 1925]

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—"The experiment is being tried."

Mr. V. C. VELLINGIRI GOUNDER:—"Is milk improving experiment also done at Chintaladevi?"

### Co-operation.

#### *Honorary Assistant Registrars of Co-operative Societies.*

\* 395 Q.—Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(a) the names, caste, educational and other qualifications of all persons appointed as Honorary Assistant Registrars for the co-operative year 1924-25;

(b) the number of inspections of primary co-operative societies held by them, the number of arbitration inquiries made by them, the number of disputes among co-operators settled by them, during the six months ending with 31st December 1924; and

(c) the number of days spent in touring by these Honorary Assistant Registrars, the amounts drawn by them as travelling allowance for themselves and their peons during the same period?

A.—The Government have no information.

Mr. R. SRINIVASA AYYANGAR:—"Are not these Honorary Assistant Registrars appointed by the Government?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—"Yes."

Mr. R. SRINIVASA AYYANGAR:—"In that state of things, how is it that the Government have no information regarding the caste and the educational qualifications of the people appointed?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—"I do not know whether it is necessary for the Government to go into these details. But if the hon. Member is interested, I have no objection in getting him the information."

Mr. R. SRINIVASA AYYANGAR:—"Before appointing the persons, do not the Government get information about the caste, the qualifications of the persons recommended and those ultimately appointed?"

The hon. Diwan Bahadur T. N. SIVAGNANAM PILLAI:—"As regards these Honorary Assistant Registrars, I doubt very much whether it is necessary to go into these questions. For instance if, in the case of this Council, anybody wanted to raise a similar question regarding the nominated and elected members, it would not be easy to supply the information. But if the hon. Member asks for the information regarding the Honorary Assistant Registrars, I would not have the slightest objection in furnishing it to him."

Mr. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR:—"The question regarding the members of this Council is different. With regard to most of us, we are selected and not appointed. In the case of these Honorary Assistant Registrars, the Government are directly and solely concerned with the selection."